To: Stanley, Elaine[stanley.elainet@epa.gov]

From: Catri, Cindy

Sent: Tue 1/10/2017 5:28:18 PM

Subject: RE: Draft Response to DEP RE: Aerovox

,,,,

Hi Elaine,

Sorry I missed your call yesterday. Comments were fine and glad you sent them to DEP.

From: Stanley, Elaine

Sent: Monday, January 09, 2017 3:49 PM

To: Catri, Cindy

Subject: Draft Response to DEP RE: Aerovox

Cindy – here is our draft response. I'll call you..

Hi Angela,

Thank you for the opportunity to review MassDEP's letter to AVX regarding its comments on the former Aerovox site Phase III RAP prior to sending it to AVX. EPA is in general agreement with the comments provided in the letter and does not want to hold up the letter going out as soon as is feasible. There are a few additional comments we would like to share with you and are included below for your consideration:

- 1. EPA recommends that the state provide AVX thirty (30) days to submit a Phase III modification since discussions on MassDEP's concerns have taken place with AVX and its contractor at the December 8, 2016 meeting at your office.
- 2. In the Table presented on Pages 4 and 5 of the letter, should the MassDEP Determination for OU2-1 be Conditional Approval instead of Approved as discussed specifically on Page 6 where it is "Conditionally Approved?"
- 3. Please consider adding the following text to this paragraph: "Further, the Phase III RAP presents no specific information on the required integration of remedial efforts along the boundary between Aerovox and the river. Given that the highest contaminant concentrations are found immediately landward of the existing sheet pile wall, containment needs to be provided directly along the existing boundary."
- 4. On Page 9 under OU3A, Comment No. 12, EPA suggests including a more explicit requirement that the Phase III Modification should include a comprehensive summary of all of the lines of evidence regarding DNAPL at the site e.g. direct observations, MALM, concentrations in soil above threshold DNAPL saturation/partitioning, elevated groundwater concentrations relative to solubility, concentration trends with depth and over time, site use history. A tabular presentation provides an efficient summary of the

lines of evidence at various areas of the site. The culmination should be site maps presenting "confirmed" and "probable" DNAPL source zones following accepted characterization guidelines which can be found for instance in the 2009 Kueper Davies guidance document.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding these comments and thank you again for the opportunity to review your letter.

Elaine

Elaine Stanley

Remedial Project Manager

EPA Region 1

5 Post Office Square

Suite 100, OSRR07-4

Boston, MA 02109-3912

Office: 617-918-1332

Email: stanley.elainet@epa.gov